Cc: Amy Picunas[PicunasAE@cdmsmith.com];

BudneySL@cdmsmith.com[BudneySL@cdmsmith.com]; Frank Tsang[TsangC@cdmsmith.com];

Gary.Foster@CH2M.com[Gary.Foster@CH2M.com];

George.Hicks@CH2M.com[George.Hicks@CH2M.com]; John Rolfe[jrolfe@demaximis.com];

PassaroML@cdmsmith.com[PassaroML@cdmsmith.com]; Robert Law[rlaw@demaximis.com]; Willard Potter[otto@demaximis.com]

To: Hoppe, Michael[Hoppe.Michael@epa.gov]; Vaughn, Stephanie[Vaughn.Stephanie@epa.gov];

jmagalen@seaengineering.com[jmagalen@seaengineering.com]

From: Stan Kaczmarek

Sent: Wed 9/4/2013 7:54:50 PM Subject: Survey Results Area 2A

130903 Passaic AS2A AD FINAL Survey Package.pdf

15368 130902 Daily Progress Map.dwg

15368 130903 & 130902 AS2a FINAL AD Contour Map.dwg

15368 130903 & 130902 AS2a FINAL AD Difference Map.dwg

130902 Passaic AS2a AD AM Osrt.xyz

130902 Passaic AS2a AD PM Post-Cleanup 0srt.xyz

130903 & 130902 Final AS2a AD 10x10 avg TIN.xyz

130903 Passaic AS2a AD Total Station.xyz

Attached are survey results for the portion of dredge area 2 that is south of the No Dredge Zone. The near shore segment that could not meet the design cut specifications will be addressed with a sampling plan currently under development for similar segments of Area 1. The other single grid in this portion of Area 2 that did not meet specifications is because of a buried cable which was left in place.

Stan Kaczmarek, PE de maximis, inc. 186 Center Street, Suite 290 Clinton, NJ 08809 (O) (908) 735-9315 (C) (973) 978-9621

>>> On 8/30/2013 at 11:04 AM, in message <5220B464.6B0 : 149 : 51652>, Stan Kaczmarek wrote:

Attached are the final survey results for Area 1 showing that the 7 designated grids identified yesterday in Cuts 1, 2 and 3 have now been dredged to within design specifications. Also included is a PDF of Area 1 highlighting the undercut caused by the underlying hard surface in Cuts 3 and 4. As

indicated yesterday, CPG will draft a sampling plan for this undercut area to identify the concentration of COPC's in the material remaining.

In addition CPG is currently dredging in the portion of Area 2 that is south of the No Dredge Zone. When that work is completed and those survey results are available, we will forward them to request EPA acceptance of the final cut in that portion of Area 2.

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you.

Stan Kaczmarek, PE de maximis, inc. 186 Center Street, Suite 290 Clinton, NJ 08809 (O) (908) 735-9315 (C) (973) 978-9621

>>> On 8/29/2013 at 5:31 PM, in message <e02c04845c0247fab0aeebc8b81dccca@BL2PF "Vaughn, Stephanie"

<Vaughn.Stephanie@epa.gov> wrote:

Hi Stan,

Jason has completed his review of the survey results. After finishing the 7 designated grids in Cuts 1, 2 and 3 we agree that you may move the silt curtain to Area 2. However, another full survey should still be completed on Area 1 to confirm the prior results.

I have not yet had a chance to review the area in Cuts 3 and 4, and will revisit this issue next week

Note that I will be available by phone tomorrow until around 10 am, and then will be out of the office until Wednesday, September 4<sup>th</sup> at about 2 pm.

Have a great weekend!

Stephanie

From: Stan Kaczmarek [mailto:StanK@demaximis.com]

Sent: Thursday, August 29, 2013 1:51 PM

To: Vaughn, Stephanie; Hoppe, Michael; jmagalen@seaengineering.com

Cc: Amy Picunas; BudneySL@cdmsmith.com; Frank Tsang; Gary.Foster@CH2M.com;

George.Hicks@CH2M.com; John Rolfe; PassaroML@cdmsmith.com; Robert Law; Willard Potter

Subject: Survey Results

## Stephanie:

Attached is a zip file with all of the survey results as of August 2 including a PDF file of the survey results depicting each 10'X10' grid within Area 1. The CPG has identified 7 grids in Cuts 1, 2 and 3 that need to be dredged deeper to meet design criteria. These grids are designated by circles in the PDF. Once those areas are cut and meet design criteria, CPG requests permission to begin redeploying the silt curtain to Area 2 while a new survey confirms that these 7 grids have met design criteria.



